

the perfect defense for willfulness.
U.S. v. Bishop, 412 US 346

We the people, are not anti-government! There exist many so-called government entities that are in fact anti-government. We support and encourage support, of the North America Republic Constitution for the United States of America. The only lawful form of government in this modernly referred to 'American' Land, and in all the states in the Territory, is a Republican Form, which is guaranteed protection for all as stated in the Constitution, Article IV, Section IV. To affirm for yourself, review the oaths of any and all Trustees/ Public Officials in your local city hall, county, municipalities, state and federal operations, et al. Each and everyone of the agents, representatives, public officers are Trustees and they take an Oath to uphold the law of the land. Their 'authority' is derived from it. They CANNOT create ANY ordinances, statutes, rules, etc., that violate the laws already established as their guide as to how to behave and interact with the natural people and other 'citizens'. (See Article VI). Their Oath is a public obligation.

State Governments are but Trustees acting under a derived authority. 4 Wheat 402
Anyone who denies or abrogates the law of the land is the "LAW BREAKER". Taking the Oath to the Constitution is what gets a Government Official / Trustee/ Public Servant in the seat in the first place. Failure to uphold the law and the Oath to it, and any violation made against the law of the land, is warring against the people. “

No state legislator or executive or judicial officer can war against the Constitution without violating his undertaking to support it.” Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401 (1958).

“The court is to protect against any encroachment of Constitutionally secured liberties.”
Boyd v. U.S., 116 U.S. 616

“Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a Constitutional right, from liability. For they are deemed to know the law.” Owen v. Independence, 100 S.C.T. 1398, 445 US 622
[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · [15 hrs](#)



[Shakoore Sun Bey](#) Whether they have or have not taken the Oath, they are bound by the Laws of the land, (as are the people) and if they are sitting in the 'seats of government' ie. Public officials, Officers of the Court, etc., and are violating the established rules of law, then they are "Impersonating an Officer of the Court / Impersonating a Government Official / A Public Official / Impersonating a Trustee. They are imposters, Therefore, they can be charged and found guilty of same, or guilty of Treason, which is highly penal. (particularly by the International World Criminal Court) of such violations. Moors issues are IN FACT International. If they have taken the oath or not and abrogate the laws of the land, then they have no authority over the people what-so-ever and/or have quit their job, their fiduciary duty to the people. Prima Facie evidence of same is found easily in the documents (paper) they submit in written form from their perspective Offices which deny the people's rights and remedies. Even more impacting is when they submit judicial decisions when they are NOT a valid judicial court and DO NOT possess lawful jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the people. Their acts are 'paper terrorism'. The submission of such papers from them is frivolous and is 'paper terrorism'. When they deny your rights and refuse to accept your lawful paperwork that scribes your position on paper, they are committing

paper terrorism because all lawful intent must first be given via 'Lawful Notice'. That is why you MUST submit the same. you also must recognize that they give 'Notice", which is notice of their intent, however you must Rebut it, if it is not supportive of your position or if it is unlawful intent. So, are they afraid of getting a paper-cut?, is that what they are calling terroristic? Their refusal to acknowledge Notice(s) / paperwork from you, the people, of which they are a public servant for, renders them treasonist, renders them the 'paper terrorist' and renders them as the law breakers. All who do not know this are ignorant of the Law. All who do know and insist on proceeding outside of the established Law of the Land, are anti-government and are committing Treason!! Let's look at the case laws regarding Defective Writs. Upon doing so, you will see who the paper terrorist really are.

Defective Writs:

A Writ may be void because it is defective in language, because the court had no jurisdiction to issue the writ; Big Torts 122 Nixon v. Reeves, 65 Minn. 159, 67 n.W. 989, 33 L.R.A. 506. See Bouviers Law Encyclopedia Rawles 3rd revision Pg. 1182.

The Clerk of the court who issues a defective writ, or one not authorized by the Court, is liable; and so is a judge who orders a writ which he had no right to issue or where he had no jurisdiction. Big Torts 128 see Bouviers Law Encyclopedia Rawles 3rd revision Pg. 1182.

Whereas, Supreme Court decisions are "Stare Decisis" upon ALL Courts, including Municipal tribunal inferior courts, one must take into serious consideration that most all of the paperwork received from these tribunals are Void and are prima facie evidence of 'Paper Terrorism". Below is a PDF containing what a Void Judgment is and Supreme Courts Decisions regarding Void Judgments. After reading it, you will ascertain on your own that those courts, tribunals who are submitting Writs of Judicial Decisions are in fact submitting defective writs and frivolous documents and they are the "Paper Terrorist"! The Natural people must begin to lien those who order them and execute them in both their private citizen capacity and their professional capacity. Read the United States Codes: Section 15 for rules regarding administrative processes. Keep in mind that these courts are administrative at best (unless they produce / show a Delegation of Authority from Congress, pursuant to Article III of the Republic Constitution FOR the United States of America (The Supreme Law of the Land). This Delegation tells you what they have jurisdiction over, if any, because it is already established the the legslready established the , The Legislature DOES NOT grant judicial authority to administrative courts, unless done via the Delegation from time e, to timewhich means it could be a time sensitive authority, only for a certain period or for a certain situation for a certain time ONLY! Administrative rules are NOT for the natural people, and they must indicate who they are for. Usually they are for federal employees --- , which are the public servants ONLY! There is a sanction against them for instance as stated in USC 15, wherein if they have a Summary Trial and Judgement without 1. getting permission of all parties - that means you. and 2. If they don't produce (prior) the bonds of ALL Officers of the Court who are to be involved in the summary trial. It is like a mal-practice insurance. The sanction is \$10,000.00 per court officer involved in the process. Yet, many who are perpetrating aren't even officers. What they have been doing all along and still do is have Summary Judgements without due process, without a jury trial. This is probably the easiest thing they could have perfected in the wake of lack of knowledge of the people, as the people have a responsibility to the law and to upholding and enforcing it. Certainly in making sure they are not violated, as ignorance of the laws being no excuse, works both ways. The

definition of a civilian is one who is skilled in the law. The complexity is not knowing what law is and what law is not, thus, not knowing that the law is really simple. The greatest right is the right to be left alone, provided you do not infringe on anyone else's right to the same. Thus, there **MUST** be an injured party / complainant / accuser / or there can be no sanctions against you. Someone **MUST** be sitting in the accusers seat and it cannot be the State of anything. Besides, if it were the state, all controversies regarding a state are to be held in the Supreme Court where original jurisdiction rest.